G R S App F IX 4]

Previous References

Mr 115-41-3, 6

Later References

1941 - (Mr)

File No 115-41

Serial Nos 9, 10

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos

Part B for August 42

SUBJECT

Chutaldang mkty — Toll gate collections for 1942-43 — by contract

To,

Memo of Chutaldang

No 2237 dt 21-8-42

states that govt cannot interfere in the matter in view of the attitude taken by the mkt cl.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Tlgm

No A6 3542/41-42
Encls two

OFFICE OF
The Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District,
Chitaldrug
Date 22nd June 1942

To

A Sundararaja Rao,Esq ,B A ,B L ,
Secretary to Government,
Law Department, Bangalore

SECY LAW DEPT
2 JUN 1942
GOVT OF MYSORE

Toll gate collections 1942-43 Chitaldrug Municipality - Disposal by contract

===

Adverting to your letter No L 11284 Ml 115-41-6 dated 28th May 1942 re the above, I have the honour to state that a copy of letter was forwarded on 29-5-1942, to the President, Town Municipal Council, Chitaldrug, for information and necessary action

Inspite of definite orders of Government to work the toll gate amani the President, Town Municipal Council, Chitaldrug, has issued a sale notification on 7-6-1942 fixing the sale relating to the right to collect the toll gate fees on the 24th instant A copy of the printed notification is enclosed for kind information

I have called for a detailed report from the President as to why the Municipal Council has acted contrary to the orders of Government issued in this behalf, and have also directed the President not to hold the sale under any circumstances On receipt of the report of the President, I shall make a further communication to Government in the matter

A copy of my D O letter to the President is enclosed for kind perusal

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug Dist

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR L.S.G. & P.H.
Dt 24 6 42
No 8270

19/L Working of the Toll gates in the Coimbatore Town Mdy

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 115 — of 1941-42 — [App F III—2

Serial No

From The D C Coimbatore Dt
No A6-3542/41-42 Dy 28/6/42

States that the municipal Council of Coimbatore during 1942-43 disposed of the right to collect toll gate fees by Contract System for Rs 14 000/ per annum in pursuance of their resolution Dy 2-7-42 and have confirmed the sale on the date of the sale itself ie 24th instt in default with out bringing the matter to his notice and in defiance of the G O directing that the toll gates should be worked annas and and Solicits immediate instructions of Govt in the matter as the Contract Commences from 1/7/42

1 The previous reference Dated 22/6/42 from the D.C on the subject has been submitted to the Minister for L S-G & P H on the 24/6/42 as seen from the Branch Diary. The letter of the D.C which is self-explanatory speaks for itself as to the facts of the Case.

2 The hurried way in which the matter has been disposed of Contrary to the instructions of Govt in this behalf are indicates the deliberate intentions and interestedness of the municipal Council concerned.

2 Submitted for orders

Sd/- 1/7/42 3 Though the letter is dated 28/6/42, yet it

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 04155—GPB—55 000— 3 10 41

Toll-gate Collections 42 & 43 — Circulars — 227/42 P 19/K

File No 1115 19/1 of 194 42 — General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

has been recd in the Secretariat today.

The report of the D.C is for perusal. He offers suggestions in the matter mentioned.

We should have defied the authority of Government and taken the law into their hands. The best course for them was to have approached the Govt. for a reconsideration of their orders. Instead of doing so, they have now the right of collecting toll thro contractors, contrary to the orders of Govt.

The Law Secty may perhaps be consulted if, under the provisions of the Tolls Act, Govt have power to compel the Circulars concerned to work the tolls departmentally, according to the orders already issued.

Law secy for 17/42

Minister L Sy H'H) 6) for 2-7 June

A may be done

Sec 5 7 42

Asst Secy Law wks 8) for 10/7 June

10-7-42

18/7/42

SECY LAW DEPT 21 JY 92 GOVT

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR L S G & P H Date 22 1942 No 132

SECRETARIAT 11 JUL 1942

Law 5/42 12-7-42

Serial No

9 The Municipality has, under Sec 46(1) of the Act the right to levy Taxes (excludes Tolls) for municipal purposes, but the right is subject to the provisions of Ch III & also Sec 204 of the Act

10 Thus, in the exercise of this right the Chairman of Town Municipality has framed Rules - vide Page 25 of the Rules & Bye-laws of the Chairman of Municipality As for Rule 2, the Municipality has the right to collect Taxes in the departmentally & there own agency or otherwise as may, from time to time seem expedient to them " These Rules must necessarily be law, & have received the sanction of Govt

11 Hence, the Chairman Municipality in this Case, have exercised their discretion, in favour of collecting Tolls, by selling that right in public auction The D C of Chairman in P & O States that through the Counsel was aware of Flag R order of 12 40 Debt, & that the Counsel in defiance of that order, sold the right to collect Tolls by auction The contention of the Counsel seems to be that Flag R order is only recommendatory & not mandatory, & as such they have not violated the law on the point

12 Flag R is merely an Official Memorandum It is not a Rule enacted by

NOTE—Contn Sheet

M File No 115 of 1941 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

In an order made by
Govt in the exercise of the powers under Sec
204 of the Town Municipal Act the Govt have the
power under Sec 204 (c) of the said Act "for the
regulation of all or any of the matters specified
in clause (h) of Sec 46 of the Act" It is
under Sec 46 (h) of the Act, the Municipality
have framed the Rule under which they have exercised
their discretion to recover Toll, at Departmentally
but by selling the right in auction, if the Govt
wanted the Municipality to recover Toll
Departmentally, then they could have made a
Rule or direction to that effect under Sec 204 (c)
of the Act, which would be binding on the
Municipality But as matters stand, I do not
think that the act of the Municipality is ultra-vires

Govt of Mysore Dept 31 July 42

the Secretary 31/7/42

13 I agree the discretion in
the matter is given to the
Municipal Council by R2
of Rules framed under S46
(P 25 of the Bye-Laws) The
O M (Rule 8) is only an
advice to the Municipal
Council

(a) The Council appears
to have taken adequate

Chitaldrug mlty - Toll gate Collections 42-43 (5)
Disposal by Contract

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No 115 T/42 of 194 — [App F III-2

Serial No

attitude but no action can be taken in this case

Cus 1-8-42

Mysore Secy Law Dept 3/8/42

15) Subject for orders with reference to para 7 of the note below (Immediate orders)

16) Mr. D.C. Chitaldrug will be informed that, in view of the definite attitude taken by the Chitaldrug Taluk Board, nothing can be done & that Govt cannot interfere in the matter

Law Secy 4/8/42

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR L S G & P H Dte 5-8-42 No 1205

Recd 5-8-42

17) Cus 4-8-42

Law Secy VLG 16/8/42

Cus 17-8-42

Y Govt Mysore Asst Secy 17/8/42

Draft letter put up for approval

Law Secy 19/8/42

Cus 19/8/42

SECY LAW DEPT 1942 GOVT OF MYSORE

20 GEN'L B

NOTE—Cont Sheet

WL 141 5—GPB—5 000—23-10 41

File No 115 of 1941

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

To

The D C Chittaldrug Dt

L 2237 dt 21 8 42

States Rait Govt Cannot interfere in the matter in view of the attitude taken by the Chittaldrug Town Md Council

B

21/8/42

R P MALLAPPA Esq B A LLB
D p ty Commi s

D O No A6 3542/41-42

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT

Dated Chitaldrug 2?nd June *194* 2

Dear Lr Venkatashamanna,

It has been brought to my notice through a printed Notification dated 7-6-1942 issued from Your Office that the right to collect fees at the toll gates during 1942-43, will be sold by public auction on the 24th June 1942, at 2 P M in the To n Hall I request you to intimate as to why the sale notifieation was issued inspite of definite orders of Goverrment (vide letter No L 11284 Ml 115/31-6 dated 28th May 1942 communicated with this office memo No C Dis 3542/ 41-42 dated 29th May 1942) to work the toll gates ameni It may also be intimated, if the orders of Government, were placed before the Council and the sale Notification was issued in accordance with the resolution of the Council and if so, why a copy of it was not sent to this Office as required by Section 24 (f) of Town Municipal Act of 1933

I request you to kindly send a detailed report in the matter The sale should, under no circumstances be held without orders from Covernment The matter may kindly be treated as urgent

Yours Sincerely,

Sd/- R P Mallappa,

B Venkatashamanna,Esq ,B A ,B L ,
President,
Chitaldrug Municipality,
Chitaldrug

"Copy"

Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug Dist

ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗದ ಟವ್ನ ಮ್ಯುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಆಫೀಸಿನಿಂದ

ನೋಟಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್

ತಾ|| 7—6—1942

ಇದರಿಂದ ಸಮಸ್ತ ಜನಗಳಿಗೂ ತಿಳಿಯಪಡಿಸ ವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ —

1942-1943 ನೇ ಸ ನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಂದರೆ 1942 ನೇ ಇ ನಿ ಜುಲೈ ಒಂದನೇ ತ ರೀಖು ಲಾಗಾಯ್ತು 1943 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಜೂನ 30 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ವರೆವಿಗೆ ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗದ ಟವ್ನ ಸರಹದ್ದಿ ನೊಳಗೆ ಪ್ರತಿ ದಿವಸ ಪ್ರವೇಶಿಸುವ ಗಾಡಿಗಳ ಮೇಲ ಟೋಲ ವಸೂಲ ಮಾಡುವ ಹಕ್ಕಿನ ಹರಾಜನ್ನು ತಾ|| 23-4-1942 ರಲ್ಲು ಈ ಆಫೀಸಿನಿಂದ ಘಾಯಿಷ ವಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಇನ್ಸ್ತಹಾರ ಪರತ್ತುಗಳ ಪ್ರ ವ ಪಡಿಸಿ ತಾರೀಖು 24-6-1942 ನೇ ಬುಧವಾರ ಸಾಯಂಕಾಲ 2 ಘಂಟೆಯಿಂದ ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗದ ಟವ್ನ ಹ ಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರೆಸಿಡೆಂಟರವರಿಂದಲ ಗಲಿ ಆಥವಾ ಅವ ಂದ ಅಧಿಕ ರ ಪಡೆದ ಇತ್ತೀ ತರ ಸರಪ ರಿಂದಲಾಗಲಿ ಬಹಿರಂಗವಾಗಿ ಹರಾಜು ನಡಿಸಲ್ಪಡುತ್ತೆ. ಕಂಡೀಷನ ವಗೈರೆ ತಪಶೀಲ ಗಳನ್ನು ಆ ೀಸು ವೇಳೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಫೀಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿದ ಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು

(Sd) B VENKATASAMANNA
President
Chitaldrug Municipality

ಎಸ ಕೆ ಪಿ ಪ್ರೆಸ ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

OFFICE OF * The Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, Chitaldrug

Date 28th June 1942

No A6 3542/41-42
Encls Three resolutions and two reports

Sundararaja Rao,Esq ,B A ,B L ,
Secretary to Government,
Law Department,
Bangalore

Sir,

Toll gate collections 1942-43 - Chitaldrug Municipality - Disposal by contract

In continuation of my report of even No dated 22nd June 1942, on the above subject, I have the honour to state that the President Town Municipal Council, Chitaldrug, has in his D O letter No H C 118/41-42 dated 25th June 1942, reported that the letter of Government (Vide No L 11284 M1 115-41-6 dated 28-5-42 from the Secretary to Government, Law Department) was placed before the Municipal Council during the budget meeting held on 2nd June 1942 when the matter was discussed in detail and it was resolved that the right to collect the toll gate fees may be disposed of by contract, on the score that this letter of Government was only recommendatory and not mandatory He has further reported that this letter was further considered by the Council, on the 7th June 1942 and it was resolved that the sale may be fixed on the 24th June 1942, and the sale was accordingly held on the 24th instant which fetched a sum of Rs 14,000/- and it was confirmed by the Council at a special meeting on the same day stating that in both the orders of Government (O M No L 6761-71-M1 131-39-3 dated 1st February 1940 and the letter dated 28th May 1942 from the Secretary to Government, Law Department referred to above), the question of working the toll gates amani has been recommended to the Municipality, that the bid of Rs 14000 now secured is more than the average realisa-

realisations during previous years and that the Municipality will save the expenditure for working the toll gates amani as also the additional work to the staff

2) In this connection I beg to state that even though orders of Government to work the toll gates amani had been communicated to the President on the 29th May 1942 from this Office, the resolutions passed at the subsequent meetings on the 2nd June 1942 and 7th June 1942 were not submitted to this Office as required under Section 24(f) of the Town Municipalities Act The fact of sale was brought to my notice only on the 21st instant through a printed notification Where upon I issued a D O letter on the next day to the President of the Municipal Council not to hold the sale without orders of Government But this letter does not seem to have been brought to the notice of the Council and the sale was also not stopped, the reason assigned by the President being that the order was communicated only by D O letter and not under the provisions of the Town Municipalities Act

3 There is no doubt that the sale has been held with a vindictiveness in defiance of the Government Order directing that the toll may be worked Amani The hurried way in which the Special Meeting has been called for on the date of sale for confirmation of the sale shows the interestedness of the unicipal Councillors in contract system in deliberately bringing about the sale contrary to the instructions of Government The contract system is no doubt a source of trouble and harassment to the public and it is more often abused I request ~~that~~ the favour of immediate instructions in the matter as the contract will commence from 1st July 1942

The connected resolutions and a copy of the D O letter of the President are forwarded herewith for kind reference

reference and immediate instructions

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug Dist

MN

D O Letter No H C 118/41-42 dated 25th June 1942 from the President, Town unicipal Council, Chitaldrug, to the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District

====

I acknowledge your D O No A6-3452/41-42 dated 22nd June 1942 and have noted the contents contained therein

The copy of the letter of Government No L 11284 Ml 115-31-6 dated 28th May 1942 was received by me in the afternoon of 30-5-1942 The general meeting of the Council was held in the morning of that date and was adjourned to 2-6-42 for discussion on budget and to 7-6-1942 for discussion on other subjects as it was late for discussion of them on 30-5-42

The above said latter of Government dated 28-5-1942 was taken into consideration by the Council and the budget was passed ~~by the~~ & as resolved by the Council The Council passed the budget without allowing any expenditure for collection of tolls as it passed a resolution to lease out for 42-43 and not to work departmentally It came to that conclusion opining that the letter of Government dated 28-5-42 was recommendatory On that date owing to indisposition I did not attend the meeting and preside Then on 7-6-42 during discussion of the remaining subjects the Government letter of 28-5-1942 was further considered in resolution 2/15 Even then the Council resolved that letter was only exhortatory and that sale is to be held and fixed the date 24-6-1942, On which date the sale to take place In pursuance of that resolution the President was obliged to take further steps in bringing about the sale Hence Notifications were issued Meanwhile on the evening of 22nd of this month, I received the above said D O asking me to withhold sale and report on certain matters referred to therein To desist from sale was by mean of D O it was not an order issued under the provisions of the Municipal Act The year was drawing to a close There was no budget sanction for the ensuing year The urge of the Council was imminent In the midst of these conflicting matters I was obliged to hold the sale, the same was held and was knocked to the highest bidder Mr Koola Rangappa for Rs 14,000/- Most of the Councillors were present at the sale and have attested The

The Council met in the evening and has approved of the sale and has passed a resolution - the copy of which is separately sent It rests with the Goernment to decide at its best I would suggest that in matters of this kind the Government should pass orders in unequivocal manner so that there be no scope for comment The Presidents and others working would be spared the embarrassing situations in which they will be placed

Moreover it lies with the Council to decide the manner in which the collection is to be made There is a discretion vested in it Recently the Government has recommended the course of working by 'Amani' owing to certain discussions in the Representative Assembly

As already mentioned the sale could not be adjourned Moreover this was the second time which was advertised for s le

Now as regards the noncompliance of ~~the~~ sending the resolutions to the Deputy Commissioner the explanation of the Officer and Officials is called for Suitable disciplinary action will be taken after hearing from them Owing to my indifferent health and heavy work in Courts, I could not personally attend to it as it was routine business which the Office is expected to do

=====

'Copy"

Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug Dist

Letter No H C 133/41-42 dated 27th June 1942 from the President, Town Municipal Council, Chitaldrug, to the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District

=====

Re Toll Collections of 1942-43
===

Adverting to Government letter No L 11284/M1 115-41-6 dated 28th May 1942, approving the continuance of the Municipal Toll Collections in the Chitaldrug Town Municipality by the Departmental Agency during the year 1942-43, I have the honour to state that this subject was discussed by the Municipal Council at their meeting held on 7-6-1942 and that it was resolved that a fresh resolution is not necessary in the light of the previous resolution passed by them at their budget meeting held on 2-6-42 When the above said Government letter of 28-5-42 was brought to the notice of the Council and it was discussed It was further resolved that sale should be held on 24-6-42 and that a special meeting should be held at 6 P M that day alone Copies of these resolutions are enclosed herewith for reference

According to the resolution of the Council dated 7-6-42, a Notification fixing 24-6-42, as the date of sale of this item was issued and wide publicity was given to this notification A copy of this notification is also appended herewith

Sale was conducted by the undersigned on 24-6-42 when only four persons (as noted in the margin) came forward to take part in the auction proceedings, though there was a large gathering The highest bid offered was for Rs 14,000/- by Mr Moola Pangappa, whose bid has been accepted by the Municipal Council at their Special meeting held on 24-6-42 at 6 P M this meeting having been convened in accordance with a notice issued in this behalf on 21-6-42 to the Municipal Councillors The reasons for acceptance of the highest bid are set forth in the resolution of

Mr S B Honnappa
Mr Sanikere Channappa
Mr B Mahalingappa
Mr Moola Rangappa

the Council, a copy of which is also enclosed herewith for reference

I solicit kind instructions in the matter

====

"Copy"

Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug Dist

Proceedings of the Budget meeting of the Chitaldrug Town Municipal Council held in the Chitaldrug Town Hall at 5 P-M on the 2nd June 1942

1	President Mr B Venkatashamanna, B A ,B L	Absent
2	Vice-President Mr Thipaiah	Present
	Ex-Officio Members	
3	The Executive Engineer, Chitaldrug	Present
4	The District Medical Officer Chitaldrug	Absent
	Elected Members	
5	Mr L Gangadharappa	Absent
6	Mr Chalpindi Thimmappa	Present
7	Mr C Abdul Karim	Absent
8	Mr Doddaghe Sommanna	Present
9	Mr T B Bhama Rao	Absent
10	Mr S Ranga Rao, B	Present
11	Mr Kasi Venkatasetty	Absent
12	Mr B Dorasary	Absent
13	Mr I Ghaus Ahmed	Present
14	Mr G Mahadevappa	Present
15	Mr K S Kesava Murty Rao	Absent
16	Mr G P Vasudeva Rao	Present
17	Mr M Manjappa	Present
18	Mr S Ganapathiappa	Absent
	Nominated Members	
19	D Horakerappa	Present
20	T Hanumiah	Present

****

Subject -

Espenditure under Toll Gate Establishment

Resolution

The Council has always felt that it is difficult to prevent the leakage and ~~exercise~~ exercise effective control over the several Toll Gates especially during the dark ~~hours~~ hours of the night and that G O No L 6761-71/71 131-89-3 dated 1-2-1940 is only ~~advising~~ advisory not mandatory Further it is no where

P T O

where stated in the Town Municipal Act of 1933 that the collection of Toll must only be by " Amani System " The Council therefore resolves that the resolution of the Council dated 7-5-1942 be given effect and the highest bid in the sale to be held without delay be placed before a special meeting of the Council for due consideration Hence no separate provision made under this head is necessary

It is also approved the following provisions made in the Budget Estimate

1 Entertaining a record keeper on Rs 25-1-40

2 Pay and allowances of Assessing Officer for assessing wor

3 Increasing the no of sweepers @ Rs 8/- per mensum for 10 persons

The Budget Estimate for 1942-1943 is sanctioned as under -

Receipts Rs 1,68,015/-

Expenditure Rs 1,69,406/-

as detailed in the draft Budget

Sd/- Thippiah,
2642

" E X T R A C T "

PRESIDENT,
Town Municipal Council,
Chitaldrug

Adjourned meeting proceedings of the Chitaldrug Town Municipal Council held on the 7th day of June 1942, in the Town Hall at 3-30 P M

1	President	Mr B Venkatashamanna, B A ,B L ,	Present
2	Vice-president	Mr Thippiah	Present
	Ex-officio members		
3	The Executive Engineer, Chitaldrug		Absent
4	The District Medical Officer, Chitaldrug		Present
	Elected Councillors		
5	Mr L Gangadharappa		Absent
6	Mr Chalpindi Thimmappa		Absent
7	Mr C Abdul Rahim		Present
8	Mr Doddamane Bommanna		Absent
9	Mr T B Shama Rao		Absent
10	Mr S Ranga Rao, B A ,		Present
11	Mr Kasi Kesava setty		Absent
12	Mr B Dorasamy		Absent
13	Mr M Shaik Ahamed		Absent
14	Mr G Mahadevappa		Present
15	Mr K S Kesavamurty Rao		Present
16	Mr G P Vasudeva Rao		Absent
17	Mr M Najappa		Present
18	Mr S Ganapatiappa		Present
	Nominated		
19	D Horakerappa		Absent
20	T Hanumaiah		Present

****

Subject No - 2/15

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] A 63542/ 19-5-42 [illegible]

Resolution

Fresh resolution not necessary as this has been disposed of on 2-6-42 during budget discussion Sale to be held on 24-6-1942 and special meeting to be held at 6 P M that day alone Chief Officer is ordered to submit the report ~~why has~~ has sent to the Deputy Commissioner and the same be ~~xxxgx~~ linked ~~xxxxx~~ to the records

Sd/- B Venkatashamanna,
President 7/6/42

"Copies"
D Hanumantha [illegible]
for President [illegible] 6 42

Adjourned meeting proceedings of the Chitaldrug Town Municipal Council held on the 7th day of June 1943, in the Town Hall at 3-30 p m

---

1	President Mr B Venkatasubbamma, B A, BL	Present
2	Vice-President Mr Thippiah	Present

Ex-officio members

3	The Executive Engineer, Chitaldrug	Absent
4	The District Medical Officer, Chitaldrug	Present

Elected Councillors

5	M P Ganganna	Absent
6	C Chowdappa	Absent
7	Mr C Adiga Rao	Present
8	Mr Doddappa Bommaru	Absent
9	Mr [illegible] Rao	Absent
10	Mr S Jain Rao, B A	Present
11	[illegible]	Absent
12	[illegible]	Absent
13	[illegible]	Absent
14	[illegible]	Present
15	[illegible]	Present
16	[illegible]	Absent
17	[illegible]	Present
18	Mr K S Kesava Murty Rao	Present
19	[illegible]	Absent.
20	[illegible]	Present

Nominated

1	[illegible]	Present

****

Subject No:- 7/15

Resolution

Fresh resolution not necessary as this has been disposed of on 5-8-43 during budget discussion sale to be held on 24-6-1943 and special meeting to be held at 6 p M that day alone Chief Officer is ordered to submit the report ~~xx~~ has been sent to the Deputy Co ~~xxxxxx~~ Linked ~~xxxx~~ to the record

President 7/6/43

Sd/- B Venkatasubbamma,

with the order G O L 6761-71/M1 131-39-3 dated 1-2-1940 resolved to hold the auction of the right of collection of Toll on Vehicles for 1942-1943 The original order of Government dated 1-2-1940 is only recommendatory and even the letter of Government dated 20-5-1942 opines that Arani system is advantageous to the Municipality The sale is held to-day in consequence of the resolutions of the Council referred to above- The Council feels that the highest bid for Rs 14,000/- is in the best interest of the Municipality in view of the fact that it is far higher than the sale realisations of 1940-1941 and the net collection through Amildary for the year 1941-1942 This saves further additional work and risk to the Municipality The Council unanimously resolved to accept the highest bid of Mr Moola Rangappa for Rs 14,000/- entrusting him with the right of collecting the Toll on vehicles etc for 1942-1943

Sd/- B Venkatachariah,
President
24 6 42

"EXTRACT"

B Venkatachariah
PRESIDENT,
Town Municipal Council,
Chitaldrug
26 6 42

Proceedings of the special meeting of the Municipal Council held in the Chitaldrug Town Hall on the 24th June 1942 at 6 P M

1	President Mr B Venkatashamanna, B A ,B L ,	Present ✓
2	Vice-President Mr Thippiah	Present ✓

Ex-Officio Members

3	The Executive Engineer, Chitaldrug	Absent
4	The District Medical Officer, Chitaldrug	Absent

Elected Members

1	Mr L Gangadharappa	Present ✓
2	Mr Chalpindi Thimmappa	Absent
3	Mr C Abdul Karim	Absent
4	Mr Doddamane Bommanna	Present ✓
5	Mr T B Shama Rao	Absent
6	Mr S Ranga Rao , B A ,	Present ✓
7	Mr Kasi Venkatasetty	Present ✓
8	Mr B Dorasamy	Absent
9	Mr M Shaik Ahamed	Present ✓
10	Mr G Mahadevappa	Present ✓
11	Mr K S Keshavamurty Rao	Present ✓
12	Mr M Nanjappa	Present ✓
13	Mr G P Vasudeva Rao	Absent
14	Mr S Gadaputrappa	Present ✓

Nominated

15	Mr D Horakerappa	Absent
16	Mr T Hanumaih	Present ✓

**** *****

SUBJECT -

Regarding the leasing out of the Toll gate collection of the Chitaldrug Town Municipality for the year 1942-1943 on 24-6-1942 and the confirmation of the sale etc ,

Resolution

Mr Keshavamurty Rao proposed Mr S Ranga Rao seconded The Council in its meetings held 2-6-1942 and 7-6-1942 having considered the letter of the Government L 11284-M L 11 31-6 dated 28-5-1942 along w

P T O

Appendix F G —1]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 194

Date of issue 21/8/42

Signed by M G Rao

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section Ml

File No 115-41

Serial No 10

No L 2237 To. The D.C. Chekaldrug Dist

To

Subject Sale of the right to collect toll in the Chekaldrug Town mkly. dr. for the year 1942-43

Sir,

With refee to the correspondence ending with your letter no A6-3542/41-42 dt 28.6.42 soliciting instructions on the above subject, I am directed to state that, in view of the attitude taken by the Chekaldrug Town Municipal Council, nothing can be done now, and that Govt cannot interfere in the matter

I have etc

for Secy to Govt

Law Dept

WD 04161—GPB—60 000—24 10 41